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#### Abstract

Various factors influence the demography of a region. In developing or developed regions, employment opportunities and resulting migration is one of the key factor. The study looks at contribution of females in the working and non-working population of the state of Maharashtra. The census shows that although there is a greater number of non-working women in the state, there has also been a significant increase in the Main Working population.
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Demography is the use of statistics to analyze the population of a place. It involves the collection of data about the population of a place - age, gender, social status, religion, caste, work habits, income, standard of living etc. - to track and compare changes from previously recorded numbers. Census is the best example of a demographic survey. It gives a snapshot of the region.

| India Census snapshot (Source: www.censusindia.gov.in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Males | Females | Rural <br> population | Urban <br> population | $\mathbf{0 - 6}$ yrs old | Literates <br> (7yrs+ |
| 2001 | $1,028,618,821$ | $532,161,079$ | $496,457,742$ | $742,490,639$ | $286,128,182$ | $163,820,573$ | $560,693,434$ |
| 2011 | $1,210,854,977$ | $623,270,258$ | $587,584,719$ | $833,748,852$ | $377,106,125$ | $164,515,253$ | $763,638,812$ |

But the demography of a region keeps changing, and a multitude of factors influence this shift. Better employment opportunities and consequent migration is one of the key factors, especially in developing or developed regions - upcoming cities and metros. Other causes can be a change in the age structure of its people - number of children, senior citizens and youth. Social evils like the killing of the girl child
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or a rise in the incidence of crimes against women, can seriously affect the gender balance of a region. Environmental disturbances and disasters can affect the overall demography in a big way.

This paper studies the impact of such demographic shifts and the influence it has on the malefemale ratio of the employed. For ease of understanding, the focus is on a single region - the data for Maharashtra state from census data of 2001 and 2011 for comparison.

Maharashtra State Census data analysis
Maharashtra Census snapshot (Source: www.censusindia.gov.in)

| Year | Population | Males | Females | Rural <br> population | Urban <br> population | $\mathbf{0 - 6}$ yrs old | Literates <br> $\mathbf{( 7 y r s}+$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $96,878,627$ | $50,400,596$ | $46,478,031$ | $55,777,647$ | $41,100,980$ | $13,671,126$ | $63,965,943$ |
|  |  | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $112,374,333$ | $58,243,056$ | $54,131,277$ | $61,556,074$ | $50,818,259$ | $13,326,517$ | $81,554,290$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 \%} \uparrow$ | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

A glance at the state level census data 2011 indicates that the overall population of the state increased by $16 \%$ over the last count. Male-Female ratio has not changed much overall, although the percentage of children in the population has marginally reduced. Urban population has increased and so has the rate of literacy in the state.

## Factors to study to understand the changing role of Women in employment

To better understand the changing role of women, the following factors are relevant:

- Working population in the state - Main workers and Marginal workers and Non-workers
- Classification of the working population -males to females, main to marginal etc
- Comparison of the data against the figures in the last census

| Working population table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total Workers | Main Workers |  | Marginal Workers |  | Non-workers |  |
| 2001 | 4,11,73,351 | 3,47,48, | ,053 | 64,25 | ,298 | 5,57, | ,05,276 |
|  | 42\% | 84\% |  | 16\% |  | 58\% |  |
|  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
|  |  | 2,44,16,295 | 1,03,31,758 | 24,35,800 | 39,89,498 | 2,35,48,501 | 3,21,56,100 |
|  |  | 70\% | 30\% | 38\% | 62\% | 42\% | 58\% |
| 2011 | 4,94,27,878 | 4,37,62,890 |  | 56,64,988 |  | 6,29,46,455 |  |
|  | 44\% | 89\% |  | 11\% |  | 56\% |  |
|  |  | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
|  |  | 2,99,89,314 | 1,37,73,576 | 26,27,561 | 30,37,427 | 2,56,26,181 | 3,73,20,274 |
|  |  | 69\% | 31\% | 46\% | 54\% | 41\% | 59\% |

## *Notes:

Main workers: Those workers who had worked for the major part of the reference period (i.e. 6 months or more) are termed as Main Workers.

Marginal Workers: Those workers who had not worked for the major part of the reference period (i.e. less than 6 months) are termed as Marginal Workers.

Non Workers: A person who did not at all work during the reference period was treated as non-worker.

The table above shows that overall contribution of females the workforce is limited. $59 \%$ of nonworkers are females, and so are $54 \%$ of the marginal workers. In fact, there has been an increase in the non-working population in females to the extent of $16 \%$ over 2001 data.

The table alongside gives an age wise breakup for the non working population. Again there is a high percentage of females even in the working age of 15-59 in the state.

| Non-workers in Population - 2011 census |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Males | Females | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Females |
| Total | $6,29,46,455$ | $2,56,26,181$ | $3,73,20,274$ | $59 \%$ |
| $15-59$ | $2,70,77,941$ | $78,53,896$ | $1,92,24,045$ | $71 \%$ |
|  | $43 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |

Analysing the age breakups, primarily, this ratio gets skewed post the age of 20 . Considering that the average marriage age of women in the state is around 21 years ${ }^{[2]}$, marriage can be attributed as one of the causes of this rise in non-working population.

| Non-workers in Population - Age group wise breakup |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Males | Females | \% Females |
| $5-9$ | $96,06,192$ | $50,62,528$ | $45,43,664$ | $47 \%$ |
| $10-14$ | $1,02,21,065$ | $53,75,522$ | $48,45,543$ | $47 \%$ |
| $15-19$ | $81,44,806$ | $41,34,689$ | $40,10,117$ | $49 \%$ |
| $20-24$ | $53,11,872$ | $20,30,950$ | $32,80,922$ | $62 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | $32,67,363$ | $6,04,710$ | $26,62,653$ | $81 \%$ |
| $30-34$ | $23,55,936$ | $2,24,337$ | $21,31,599$ | $90 \%$ |
| $35-39$ | $20,48,580$ | $1,50,353$ | $18,98,227$ | $93 \%$ |
| $40-49$ | $32,66,002$ | $2,59,136$ | $30,06,866$ | $92 \%$ |
| $50-59$ | $26,83,382$ | $4,49,721$ | $22,33,661$ | $83 \%$ |
| $60-69$ | $33,64,360$ | $10,96,745$ | $22,67,615$ | $67 \%$ |
| $70-79$ | $21,60,491$ | $8,29,731$ | $13,30,760$ | $62 \%$ |
| $80+$ | $9,26,374$ | $3,68,487$ | $5,57,887$ | $60 \%$ |

So far, there has been no conclusive evidence for the increasing contribution of women in the workforce of the state. We also look at the primary working population - those that are employed for more than 6 months in a year - Main workers in the state.
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From the above data, it can be seen that amongst the main workers in the state, about $31 \%$ are women. At first look, it seems like a marginal increase of $1 \%$ over the last census data. However the gender ratio in the state has also not changed much over the last census period.

Hence, it is better to rely on the sheer number of female main workers in the state. Overall, the no of main workers in the state has increased by $26 \%$ over the last census, from 3.47 crores to 4.37 crores. And the number of female main workers has increased from 1.03 crores to 1.38 crores i.e. a huge $33 \%$ increase, when compared against a $23 \%$ increase in the number of male workers.

However, taking into account the fact that the population of both males and females has risen by roughly $16 \%$ from 2001 to 2011 - nearly equally, then the above $33 \%$ increase seems much more remarkable. Main workers among Urban female population have risen tremendously, but Rural female main working population has also risen considerably.

## Conclusion

The above presented Census data for Maharashtra state indicates that although there is a greater number of females in the non-working population of the state, it cannot undermine the fact that the number of working women has increased, and especially in the Main Working population. Overall, we can safely conclude that the contribution of females in the Main working population of the state is rising, and at a good pace. Therein lies the proof that there is a good economic reason to encourage gender equality.
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