



A retrospective study on incidence of dystocia in cattle and buffaloes at referral center

**Kamlesh Jeengar^{1*}, G N Purohit², J S Mehta²,
Vikas Choudhary³ and Laxmi Kant Nirwan⁴**

¹*Veterinary Officer, T.M.V.U., Suwana, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan, India.*

²*Prof. Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, Bikaner-334001, Rajasthan, India.*

³*Agriculture Finance Officer, Central Bank of India, Allot, Indore, India.*

⁴*Veterinary Officer, Govt. Veterinary Hospital, Satyun District, Churu, Rajasthan, India.*

*Corresponding author: kamlesh.jinx@gmail.com

Abstract

A retrospective study on the incidence of bovine dystocia between October, 2012 to September, 2013 was screened. A high incidence of maternal cause of dystocia was found in both cows (78.89%) and buffaloes (80.33%). Maldisposition of fetus was the commonest cause of fetal dystocia in both cows (16.67%) and buffaloes (18.03%). Imperfect dilatation of cervix (50%) was the major cause of maternal dystocia in cattle while uterine torsion (55.74%) in buffaloes. Other causes of dystocia with low incidence include narrow pelvis, fetal emphysema and fetal monster.

Keywords: Incidence, types of dystocia, cattle

Dystocia is defined as delayed or difficult calving, sometimes requiring significant human assistance (Lombard *et al.*, 2007; Zaborski *et al.*, 2009; Uzamy *et al.*, 2010). In cattle and buffalo the incidence of dystocia is maximum compared to other farm animals (Purohit *et al.*, 2011). Buffaloes are known to have greater incidence of maternal dystocia (Saxena *et al.*, 1989; Nanda *et al.*, 2003). However, a higher incidence of fetal dystocia has been also recorded for both cows (Singla

et al., 1990) and buffaloes (Singla *et al.*, 1990; Phogat *et al.*, 1992; Singla and Sharma, 1992). This report analyses the incidence of dystocia in 151 referral cases presented to this referral centre.

Materials and Methods

Retrospective study was done on cows (n=90) and buffaloes (n=61) presented to Clinics of veterinary gynaecology and obstetrics, CVAS, Bikaner during one year from October, 2012 to September, 2013. Medical records were reviewed and information were obtained on type of dystocia.

Results and Discussion

All the animals were presented to the referral centre 12 to 24 hours after the onset of second stage of labour. The incidence of different type of dystocia is presented in Table 1. Maternal causes were predominant in both cows (78.89%) and buffaloes (80.33%) and fetal causes were 21.11% in cows and 19.67% in buffaloes. Incidence of fetal monster due to conjoined twins with single head or monocephalus was 1.1% in cow. No single case of fetal monster was noted in buffaloes during this period at referral center. The incidence of fetal emphysema was 3.3% in cows and 1.64% in buffaloes. The commonest fetal cause was maldisposition of fetus in both cows (16.67%) and buffaloes (18.03%), whereas, the predominating maternal cause of dystocia were incomplete dilation of cervix in cows (50%) and uterine torsion in buffaloes (55.74%). Dystocia due to narrow pelvis accounted in 4.4% cows and in 1.64% buffaloes.

In present study a higher incidence of maternal dystocias were seen in both cows and buffaloes which were supported by the studies of Srinivas *et al.* (2007) and Purohit *et al.* (2011), contrary to the present study a higher incidence of fetal dystocias have been described in cattle (Singla *et al.*, 1990; Singla and Sharma, 1992; Purohit and Mehta, 2006; Purohit *et al.*, 2012) and in buffaloes (Singla *et al.*, 1990; Phogat *et al.*, 1992).

In present study uterine torsion (55.74%) is the major cause of dystocia in buffaloes and incomplete dilatation of cervix (50%) in cows. Prasad *et al.* (2000), Nanda *et al.* (2003) and Purohit *et al.* (2011) also found similar results.

In previous studies on cattle indicated that the fetus is the major cause of dystocia (Sloss and Johnston, 1967; Majeed *et al.*, 1989; Khammas and Al-Hamedawi, 1994; Wehrend *et al.*, 2002; Ximenes *et al.*, 2010) and abnormal fetal presentations at birth contribute to 1- 5% of total dystocia cases (Nix *et al.*, 1998; Bennett and

Gregory, 2001; Garrousi, 2004). In a study by Purohit and Mehta (2006) there were less frequent fetal dystocias in buffaloes. The incidence of monstrosities reported for cow was 0.5% (Craig, 1930), whereas an incidence of 7.9% (Phogat *et al.*, 1992) to 12.8% (Singla and Sharma, 1992) has been reported for buffalo.

The total incidence of dystocia due to fetal maldispositions described for the buffalo vary from 45.4% (Phogat *et al.*, 1992) to 69.8% (Srinivas *et al.*, 2007). In dairy cattle, Wehrend *et al.* (2002) have observed that incorrect fetal orientation of a dead fetus was the most frequent cause (38.9%) of dystocia and similar findings were recorded by Holland *et al.* (Holland *et al.*, 1993) in beef cows.

Table 1: Percent Incidence of different type of dystocias in cows and buffaloes

Fetal causes	Cows %(n)	Buffaloes %(n)
Fetal maldisposition	16.67% (15)	18.03% (11)
Fetal monster	1.1% (1)	-
Fetal emphysema	3.3% (3)	1.64% (1)
Total	21.11% (19)	19.67% (12)
Maternal causes		
Uterine torsion	24.44% (22)	55.74% (34)
Incomplete cervical dilation	50% (45)	22.95% (14)
Narrow pelvis	4.4% (4)	1.64% (1)
Total	78.89% (71)	80.33% (49)

The incidence of uterine torsion is considered to be higher in buffaloes compared to cows (Purohit *et al.*, 2011). Uterine torsion is considered to be the single largest condition contributing to dystocia in buffaloes with incidence as high as 56% to 67% (Singh *et al.*, 1978; Nanda *et al.*, 1991; Purohit and Mehta, 2006) and up to 70% (Nanda *et al.*, 2003). In cows the incidence is comparatively lower although at various locations it is known to vary between 7 to 30 percent (May, 1950; Pearson, 1971; El Nagggar, 1978).

The incidence of cervical dystocia was 11.1 to 16.7 percent (Wehrend and Bostedt, 2003) in cows. The collective incidence of incomplete cervical dilation in cattle and buffaloes was 5.1 percent (Sharma *et al.*, 1992). The incidence of pelvic deformities as a cause of dystocia in buffaloes was 1.2 percent (Deshmukh, 1975). In cows and buffaloes, the incidence of narrow pelvis is known to be 9.2 percent (Sharma *et al.*, 1992).

In conclusion, the maternal causes of dystocia are common in both cows and buffaloes. Overall, incomplete dilation of cervix is common in cows while uterine torsion is common in buffaloes.

References

- Bennett GL and Gregory KE. 2001. Genetic (co) variances for calving difficulty score in composite and parental populations of beef cattle: 1. Calving difficulty score, birth weight, weaning weight and postweaning gain. *J. Anim. Sci.* **79**: 45-51.
- Craig JF. 1930. Fleming's Veterinary Obstetrics. Fourth Edition. Bailliere. London: Tindall and Cox.
- Deshmukh MJ. 1975. Clinical studies on problems of parturition and post parturient reproductive disorders in buffaloes. M.V.Sc. thesis, Punjabrao Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola.
- El-Naggar M. 1978. Evaluation of field oriented treatment of uterine torsion in buffaloes in Egypt. *Indian Vet. J.* **55**: 61–67.
- Garrousi TM 2004. Effects of different factors in dystocia due to foetal disposition. 23rd World Buiatrics Congr Canada. pp-1598.
- Holland MD, Speer NC and Lefever DG. 1993. Factors contributing to dystocia due to fetal malpresentation in beef cattle. *Theriogenology.* **39**: 899-908.
- Khammas DJ and Al-Hamedawi TM. 1994. Clinical investigation of bovine dystocia in Iraq. *Indian Vet. J.* **71**: 464-468.
- Lombard JE, Garry FB, Tomlinson SM and Garber LP. 2007. Impacts of dystocia on health and survival of dairy calves. *J. Dairy Sci.* **90**: 1751-60.
- Majeed AF, Ali JB and Taha MB. 1989. A preliminary study on dystocia in local breed Iraqi cattle. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **7**: 219-23.
- May JA 1950. Torsio uteri. *Tierazzt. Umsch.* **5**: 1259-1260.
- Nanda AS, Sharma RD and Nowshahari MA. 1991. The clinical outcome of different regimes of treatment of uterine torsion in buffaloes. *Indian J. Anim. Reprod.* **12**:197-200.
- Nanda AS, Brar PS and Prabhakar S 2003. Enhancing reproductive performance in dairy buffalo: major constraints and achievements. *Reprod. Suppl.* **61**: 27-36.
- Nix JM, Spitzer JC and Grimes LW. 1998. A retrospective analysis of factors contributing to calf mortality and dystocia in beef cattle. *Theriogenology.* **49**: 1515-1523.
- Pearson H 1971. Uterine torsion in cows. *Vet. Rec.* **89**: 597-599.
- Phogat JB, Bugalia NS and Gupta SL. 1992. Incidence and treatment of various forms of dystocia in buffaloes. *Indian J. Anim. Reprod.* **13**: 69-70.
- Prasad S, Rohit K and Maurya SN. 2000. Efficacy of laparohysterotomy and rolling of dam to treat uterine torsion in buffaloes. *Indian Vet. J.* **77**: 784-786.

- Purohit GN and Mehta JS. 2006. Dystocia in cattle and buffaloes - a retrospective analysis of 156 cases. *Vet. Pract.* **7**:31-34.
- Purohit GN, Barolia Y, Shekher C and Kumar P. 2011. Maternal Dystocia in cows and buffaloes: A review. *Open J. Anim. Sci.* **1**: 41-53.
- Purohit GN, Kumar P, Solanki K, Shekher C and Yadav SP. 2012. Perspectives of fetal dystocia in cattle and buffalo. *Vet. Sci. Dev.* **2**:31-42.
- Saxena OP, Varshney AC, Jadon NS *et al.* 1989. Surgical management of dystocia in bovine: A clinical study. *Indian Vet. J.* **66**: 562-566.
- Sharma RD, Dhaliwal GS and Prabhakar S. 1992. Percutaenous fetotomy in management of dystocia in bovines. *Indian Vet. J.* **69**: 443-445.
- Singla VK, Gandotra VK and Prabhakar S. 1990. Incidence of various types of dystocias in cows. *Indian Vet. J.* **67**: 283-284.
- Singla VK and Sharma RD. 1992. Analysis of 188 cases of dystocia in buffaloes. *Indian Vet. J.* **69**: 563-564.
- Singh J, Prasad B and Rathore SS. 1978. Torsio uteri in buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). An anlysis of 65 cases. *Indian Vet. J.* **55**: 161-165.
- Sloss V and Johnston DE (1967). The causes and treatment of dystocia in beef cattle in Western Victoria. *Aust. Vet. J.* **43**: 13- 20.
- Srinivas M, Sreenu M, Lakshmi Rani N, Subramanyam Naidu K and Devi Prasad V. 2007. Studies on dystocia in graded murrah buffaloes: a retrospective study. *Buffalo Bull.* **26**: 40-45.
- Uzamy C, Kaya I, Ayyilmaz T 2010. Analysis of risk factors for dystocia in a Turkish Holstein herd. *J. Anim. Vet. Advanc.* **9**: 2571-2577.
- Wehrend A, Reinic T, Herfen K, Bostedt H 2002. Fetotomy in cattle with special references to post operative complications: an evaluation of 131 cases. *Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr.* **109**: 56-61.
- Wehrend A and Bostedt H. 2003. The incidence of cervical dystocia and disorders of cervical involution in the post partum cow. *Deutsche Tierarzlithe Wochen-schrift*, **110**: 483-486.
- Ximenes FHB, Leite CR, Moscardini ARC. 2010. Retrospective study of cases of dystocia in cattle at Veterinary Hospital of University of Brasilia from 2002 to 2009. pp. 1196.
- Zaborski D, Grzesiak W and Szatkowska I. 2009. Factors affecting dystocia in cattle. *Reprod. Dom. Anim.* **44**: 540-51.

